Council District Population Change: 2000 to 2010 (adjusted) Montgomery County, Maryland

			Total P	opulation			2000-2010 (adj) Percent
Council District ¹	2000	2000 %	2010	2010 %	Adjusted ²	Adjusted %	Change
District 1	174,556	20.0%	185,462	19.1%	185,474	19.1%	6.3%
District 2	177,846	20.4%	214,315	22.1%	214,466	22.1%	20.6%
District 3	172,870	19.8%	197,661	20.3%	197,789	20.3%	14.4%
District 4	173,601	19.9%	189,652	19.5%	189,774	19.5%	9.3%
District 5	174,468	20.0%	184,687	19.0%	184,835	19.0%	5.9%
County Total	873,341	100.0%	971,777	100.0%	972,338	100.0%	11.3%

Target District194,468Maxium % Variation15.2%Average % Variation4.8%

Source: Adjusted 2010 Redistricting Data, Maryland Dept. of Planning; Redistricting Data (PL 94-171) 2000 & 2010 Census, U Research & Information Systems, prepared by Montgomery County Department of Planning. M-NCPPC (3/23/11).

Target district population is the total County population divided equally among the five council distreach district has a population of 194,468.

Maxium percentage variation is the sum of the absolute values of percentage variation of the two d over-represented and most under-represented. In this case, the district with the largest population, D by 10.3% and the district with the smallest population, District 5, differs by 5.0% summing the absolute.

Average percentage variation is the sum of the absolute values of each district's percentage variati by the number of districts.

¹ Current Council Districts adopted in 2001.

² For the purposes of Congressional, State and local redistricting, the U.S. Census Redistricting data State of Maryland pursuant to the Maryland law passed in 2010, the "No Representation Without Pop 496). Generally, the law requires that the census data must be adjusted to reassign Maryland resider institutions to their last known address and to exclude out-of-state residents in correctional institution. The adjusted counts used for redistricting were certified by the Secretaries of the Maryland Department Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services, and the executive director of the Department March 22, 2011.

Difference		% Variation		
fı	rom Target	from Target		
	-8,994	-4.6%		
	19,998	10.3%		
	3,321	1.7%		
	-4,694	-2.4%		
	-9,633	-5.0%		

I.S. Census Bureau; Center for

must be adjusted by the pulation Act" (SB 400, HB ats in correctional s from redistricting.

ent of Planning and the of Legislative Services on

icts. Under this formula,

listricts which are most istrict 2, exceeds the ideal te values equals 15.2%.

ion from the ideal divided